MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

October 28, 2003

SUBJECT:

Draft Policies for the MAG Community Emergency Notification System

SUMMARY:

In January 2003, the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) awarded a \$2.5 million contract to the Maricopa Association of Governments to develop and operate a Community Emergency Notification System (CENS) for Maricopa County. The system will rapidly notify by telephone those living or working near the scene of an emergency and provide them with information and any required emergency instructions in English, Spanish, or Telecommunication Device for the Deaf (TDD). CENS will be activated through the Public Safety Answering Points (PSAP). In addition, the CENS program will continue to work with member agencies on the integration of those notification systems already in place.

Policies and procedures needed to be developed that would guide implementation of the CENS prior to the activation of the system in January 2004. A CENS Stakeholder Working Group was formed to ensure all interested parties had a voice in setting the policies. In March 2003, each MAG member agency received a letter requesting assistance in identifying public safety, flood control, homeland security, animal control, emergency management, and legal representatives to participate in the CENS Stakeholder Working Group.

During a series of three meetings, the Working Group discussed system activation thresholds (emergency and public safety notifications), liability considerations (overuse/underuse of system), and ongoing funding (user fees, cost recovery). At their October 1, 2003 meeting, the Working Group reached consensus on policies for consideration by the MAG 911 Oversight Team. On October 23, 2003, the Oversight Team met and recommended the following two policies for consideration by the Management Committee.

Policy #1 - System Activation Fee: Associated annual costs of the CENS program include \$690,000 per year to Qwest for maintaining the database, \$12,000 for event watches, and \$85,000 for the CENS project manager (including benefits). In an activation, the CENS program will be charged 23¢ per 30-second message for each completed call. A notification call is estimated to be one minute in duration (46¢ per call). Cost Example: A 60-second message to 116 addresses would be a total cost of \$53.36.

Recommendation: Emergency activation charges will be fully funded through CENS program funds for the first year of system operation. After the first year of activation, as more system data is available, whether the activating agency will pay the activation charges will be evaluated.

<u>Policy #2 - Activation of the System</u>: The CENS Stakeholders discussed at length when to activate the system. Originally, the group discussed using different levels for activation. Level one was designated for emergencies and level two as public safety messages. Further investigation discovered some potential conflicts with federal law. Senate Bill 800, the *Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999*, addresses privacy concerns and bans the use of location information except with written permission from the subscriber or for emergency purposes. The bill states: "...to providers of information or database management services solely for purposes of assisting in the delivery of *emergency services in response to an emergency*." This raised the question of what is an emergency. The bill does not provide any definition for the term emergency. Legal opinion is being sought to provide clarification for system use.

Recommendation

Initially, the CENS program will be activated for emergency incidents only. The system would be used for level one activations until clarification from legal counsel regarding the verbiage in Senate Bill 800. The CENS program will only be activated for the defined emergency incidents until further legal input is provided.

Emergency incidents are defined as follows:

- Imminent threats to life or property
- Disaster notification
- Endangered children
- Endangered elderly
- Evacuation notices

Additionally, the Working Group supported the creation of a group that would provide oversight of the CENS program and report back to the Working Group after the first year. The Oversight Team concurred and formed a subgroup that will provide guidance on the implementation of the system to the 911 Oversight Team.

PUBLIC INPUT:

None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: The system will rapidly notify by telephone those living or working near the scene of an emergency by telephone and provide them with information and any required emergency instructions in English, Spanish, or TDD. Allowing each agency activations at no cost during the first year will introduce the program and give users an opportunity to see how helpful and timesaving the system can be. This will enable agencies to justify funding for future use without relying on the CENS maintenance funding.

CONS: The system will sustain itself for three to five years on existing funds so alternate funding sources need to be found for system continuation. The disadvantage of requiring each agency to fund their own activations is the system might not get used due to budget constraints. Many agencies are dealing with budget shortages and might hesitate to use the CENS Program because of the costs. The CENS Program will also compete with the other funding needs of an agency.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: The policy process included system activation thresholds, liability considerations, and ongoing funding. Issues surrounding system activation include who is authorized to activate the system, whether to use the system for emergencies only, what is an emergency and would there be

a fee associated with the activation. Potential liability issues included not activating the system in a timely manner or possible overuse of the system. The system will sustain itself for three to five years on existing funds so alternate funding sources need to be found for system continuation. A regional consensus on each of these issues must occur before the system is activated.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the draft policies for the MAG Community Emergency Notification System.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

911 Oversight Team: On October 23, 2003, the MAG 911 Oversight Team recommended approval of the draft policies for the Community Emergency Notification System, and formed a subgroup that will provide guidance on the implementation of the system to the 911 Oversight Team.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Harry Beck, Mesa Fire Department, Chair *Jim Gibson, Glendale Fire Department Steve Werner, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office

*Mike Fusco, Emergency Management, Peoria Blake McClelland, Phoenix Police Department Helen Gandara-Zavala, Scottsdale Police Dept.

Jay Spradling, Tempe Police Department *Lawrence Rodriguez, Tolleson Police Dept.

Vacant: Phoenix Fire Department

CENS Stakeholders Working Group: The CENS Stakeholders Working Group met on three occasions to discuss draft policies. At their October 1, 2003 meeting, the Working Group reached consensus on draft policies for consideration by the 911 Oversight Team.

Harry M. Beck Chief, Chair, 911 Oversight Team, Mesa Fire Department

Manny Agah Arizona Department of Transportation - TOC

Debbie Henry Operational Communications, Department of Public Safety
Timothy Wolfe Assistant State Engineer, Arizona Department of Transportation

Greg Workman Section Manager, Hazardous Waste Section, ADEQ

Monte Egherman
Danielle Mills
Firefighter, Buckeye Fire Department
Lieutenant, Chandler Police Department
Dan Couch
Battalion Chief, Chandler Fire Department

Richard Speer Communications Commander, Chandler Police Department
Paul Sullivan Office of Community Preparedness, Chandler Fire Department

Roy Levenda Chief, El Mirage Fire Department

David Evertsen Town Manager, Gila Bend

James Brad Commander, Gilbert Police Department
Wes Kemp Battalion Chief, Gilbert Fire Department
Jim Gibson Assistant Chief, Glendale Fire Department

Kelley Mure Emergency Management Coordination, Glendale Fire Department

Sheila Pattee Public Safety Answering Point, Glendale Police Department

Debi Willis Glendale Police Department

Chris Nadeau Communications Manager, Goodyear Police Department

Timothy Sanger IT, Maricopa County Animal Care & Control, Emergency Services
Robert Spencer Director, Maricopa County Department of Emergency Management

Linda Velazquez Lieutenant, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office

Steve Waters Flood Warning Branch Manager, Flood Control District of Maricopa Co.

^{*} Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

[#] Attended by videoconference or by telephone conference call.

Steve Werner Deputy Chief, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office

Karl Emberg Commander, Support Services Administrative Div., Paradise Valley

Cliff Puckett Assistant Chief, Mesa Fire Department
Mike Fusco Safety & Emergency Management, Peoria

Rick Picard Peoria Fire Department

Larry Rooney Deputy Chief, Peoria Fire Department

Marcus Aurelius Emergency Management Coordinator, Phoenix

Carol Campbell Communications Shift Supervisor, Phoenix Police Department

Tami DeRuiter Phoenix Police Department

Mary Dysinger-Franklin 911 Coordinator, Phoenix Fire Department

Liz Hunt CENS Program Manager, Phoenix Fire Department

Susan MacFarlane 911 Administrator, Phoenix Fire Dept., Chair, PSAP Managers Group

Blake McClelland Commander, Phoenix Police Department
Adrian Ruiz Lieutenant, Phoenix Police Department

Gail Denney Communications Division, Scottsdale Police Department

Marc Eisen Director of Emergency Services, Scottsdale

Helen Gandara-Zavala Administrative Services Director, Scottsdale Police Department

Tom Melton Public Safety Answering Point, Scottsdale

Dee Taylor Executive Assistant Chief, Scottsdale Police Department

Michael White Chief, Surprise Fire Department

Kevin Kotsur Assistant Chief, Tempe Police Department Jay Spradling Assistant Chief, Tempe Police Department

Larry Rodriguez Chief, Tolleson Police Department
Anthony Melendez Chief, Wickenburg Police Department

Regional Council: On March 26, 2003, the MAG Regional Council was provided a presentation on the implementation of the CENS system.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek, Chair

Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise, Vice Chair Councilmember Brian Milkey for Mayor Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction

*Mayor Ron Drake, Avondale *Mayor Dusty Hull, Buckeye

*Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree

*Vice Mayor Ralph Mozilo, Cave Creek

Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler Mayor Robert Robles, El Mirage Mayor Jon Beydler, Fountain Hills Mayor Chuck Turner, Gila Bend

Governor Richard Narcia, Gila River Indian

Community

Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale

Vice Mayor James Cavanaugh, Goodyear

Mayor Margarita Cota, Guadalupe

Mayor J. Woodfin Thomas, Litchfield Park

Supervisor Max W. Wilson for

Supervisor Don Stapley, Maricopa County

Mayor Keno Hawker, Mesa

Mayor Edward Lowry, Paradise Valley

*Mayor John Keegan, Peoria *Mayor Skip Rimsza, Phoenix *President Joni Ramos, Salt River

Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale Mayor Neil Giuliano, Tempe *Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson Mayor Lon McDermott, Wickenburg *Mayor Daphne Green, Youngtown

Rusty Gant, ADOT Joe Lane, ADOT

F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee

Attended by videoconference or by telephone conference call.

^{*} Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Management Committee: On March 12, 2003, the Management Committee was provided a presentation on the implementation of the CENS system.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Peoria: Prisila Ferreira for Terry Ellis Mesa: Mike Hutchinson, Vice Chair Apache Junction: George Hoffman

Avondale: Todd Hileman *Buckeye: Joe Blanton *Carefree: Jon Pearson

*Cave Creek: Usama Abujbarah Chandler: Donna Dreska

*El Mirage: Dick Yost

#Fountain Hills: Tim Pickering *Gila Bend: David Evertsen

Gila River Indian Community: Urban Giff

Gilbert: George Pettit Glendale: Ed Beasley

Goodyear: Stephen Cleveland *Guadalupe: Tom Morales

Litchfield Park: Horatio Skeete Paradise Valley: Tom Martinsen

Phoenix: Frank Fairbanks

#Queen Creek: Cynthia Seelhammer

Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community:

Jacob Moore for Bryan Meyers Scottsdale: Steve Olson Jan Dolan Surprise: Jim Rumpeltes for Bill Pupo

Tempe: Will Manley Tolleson: Ralph Velez Wickenburg: Shane Dille Youngtown: Mark Fooks

ADOT: Andy Smith for Victor Mendez Maricopa County: Tom Buick for

David Smith RPTA: Ken Driggs

911 Oversight Team: On October 19, 2000, the 911 Oversight Team recommended accepting the funds from the ADEQ and responsibility for the design, development, management, and implementation of the system, with MAG as the accounting entity pending the settlement funds becoming available.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Harry Beck, Phoenix Fire Department, Chairman

*Jim Gibson, Glendale Fire Department Shelly Bunn, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office Dennis L. Donna, Mesa Police Department Mike Fusco, Peoria Fire Department *Dave Bennett, Phoenix Police Department Dave Jones for Helen Gandara-Zavala, Scottsdale Police Dept.

*Cliff Jones, Tempe Fire Department

*Lawrence Rodriguez, Tolleson Police Dept.

CONTACT PERSON:

Chief Harry M. Beck, Mesa Fire Department, 480-644-4945; or Liz Hunt, CENS Program Manager, Phoenix Fire Department, 602-534-9775.

^{*}Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

[#] Participated by videoconference or telephone conference call.

^{*}Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

CENS Activation Time Line

| October 1, 2003 | CENS Stakeholders meeting |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |
| October 16, 2003 | PSAP Managers kick-off/ training |
| | meeting |
| October 23, 2003 | 911 Oversight Team meeting |
| | Recommend approval of policies |
| October 27-November 7, 2003 | PSAP personnel training |
| 2003 | |
| October-November, 2003 | Public Safety command staff/field |
| | personnel training |
| October 28, 2003 | First simulation testing |
| | |
| November 5, 2003 | MAG Management Committee meeting |
| | Recommend approval of policies |
| November 14, 2003 | Second simulation testing |
| | |
| December 3, 2003 | MAG Regional Council |
| | Approval of policies |
| December 4, 2003 | CENS Program press conference |
| | |
| December 5, 2003 | Final simulation testing |
| D | Dublic Assessment of Communications |
| December 4-31, 2003 | Public Awareness Campaign |
| January 1, 2004 | CENS Program fully operational in |
| | MAG Region |